arXiv:1010.2005v3 [math.AG] 25 Apr 2011

A BOUND OF LENGTHS OF CHAINS OF MINIMAL RATIONAL CURVES ON FANO MANIFOLDS OF PICARD NUMBER 1

KIWAMU WATANABE

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the minimal length of chains of minimal rational curves needed to join two general points on a Fano manifold of Picard number 1 under mild assumptions. In particular, we give a sharp bound of the length by a fundamental argument. As an application, we compute the length for Fano manifolds of dimension ≤ 7.

1. Introduction

We say that a complex projective manifold X is *Fano* if its anticanonical divisor is ample. Rational curves on Fano manifolds have been studied by several authors. For instance, J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([21], [25])**.** *For a Fano n-fold of Picard number $\rho = 1$, two general points can be connected by a smooth rational curve whose anticanonical degree is at most $n(n+1)$.*

In [21], they also remarked that their proof can be modified to improve it to a bound which is asymptotically $\frac{n^2}{4}$. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we know the n-dimensional Fano manifolds of $\rho = 1$ form a bounded family. In this direction, J. M. Hwang and S. Kebekus studied the minimal length of chains of minimal rational curves needed to join two general points [12]. In the previous article [28], we computed the minimal length in some cases. For example, we dealt with the case where the dimension of X is at most 5. As a corollary, we provided a better bound on the degree of Fano 5-folds of $\rho = 1$.

Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$, $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ the normalization of the space of rational curves on X (see [20, II. Definition-Proposition 2.11]) and $\mathscr{K}$ a *minimal rational component*, which is a dominating irreducible component of $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ whose anticanonical degree is minimal among such families. As in [12, Assumption 2.1], assume that for general $x \in X$,

(i) $\mathscr{K}_x := \{[C] \in \mathscr{K} | x \in C\}$ is irreducible, and
(ii) $p := \dim \mathscr{K}_x > 0$.

Remark that all known examples with $p > 0$ satisfy the first condition ([12, Remark 2.2]). Furthermore if $p = 0$, our problem dealing in this paper is easy (see Remark 2.12 and [12, Remark 2.2]).

We denote by $l_{\mathscr{K}}$ the minimal length of chains of general $\mathscr{K}$-curves needed to join two general points (for a precise definition, refer to Definition 2.11). In this paper,

---

Date: January 2010, Revised: April 25 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E30, 14J45, 14N99.

Key words and phrases. Fano manifold, chain of rational curves, minimal rational component, variety of minimal rational tangents.

we give a sharp bound of the length $l_{\mathscr{K}}$ by a fundamental argument under the mild assumptions (i) and (ii). Our main result is

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$ and $\mathscr{K}$ a minimal rational component of X such that $\mathscr{K}_x$ is irreducible of dimension $p > 0$ for general $x \in X$. Then we have*

$$\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p+1} \rfloor + 1 \leq l_{\mathscr{K}} \leq \lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 1,$$

where $\lfloor d \rfloor$ is the largest integer $\leq d$.

Remark that the lower bound comes from [12, Proposition 2.4] (see Proposition 2.10) directly. Our main contribution is to establish the sharp upper bound. As a byproduct, applying the argument of [21, Proof of the Theorem Step 3, Corollary 1], this theorem implies the following:

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a Fano manifold as in Theorem 1.2. Then the following holds.*

(i) *Two general points on X can be connected by a smooth rational curve whose anticanonical degree is at most $(p+2)(\lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 1) \leq \frac{(n+3)^2}{8}$.*

(ii) $(-K_X)^n \leq \{(p+2)(\lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 1)\}^n \leq \{\frac{(n+3)^2}{8}\}^n$, *where $-K_X$ stands for the anticanonical divisor of X.*

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give a precise definition of the *length* of chains of minimal rational curves. In Section 3, we give a proof of our main theorem via a fundamental approach. In Section 4, we investigate Fano manifolds whose *varieties of minimal rational tangents* have low-dimensional secant varieties. In Section 5, we study the lengths of Fano manifolds of dimension ≤ 7 by applying some previous results. In this paper, we work over the complex number field.

2. Definition of length

Definition 2.1. (i) By a *variety*, we mean an integral separated scheme of finite type over the complex number field. We call a 1-dimensional proper variety a *curve*. A *manifold* means a smooth variety.

(ii) For a rational curve C on a manifold X, let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to C \subset X$ be the normalization. Then C is *free* if f^*T_X is semipositive, where T_X stands for the tangent bundle of X.

(iii) For a projective variety X and a rational curve C on X, C is *$\mathscr{K}$-curve* if $[C]$ is contained in a subset $\mathscr{K} \subset \mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$.

(iv) For a projective variety X and an irreducible component $\mathscr{K}$ of $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$, $\mathscr{K}$ is a *dominating family* if for a general point $x \in X$ there exists a $\mathscr{K}_x$-curve.

(v) For a Fano manifold X, a *minimal rational component* means a dominating irreducible component of $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ whose anticanonical degree is minimal among such families.

(vi) For a vector space V, $\mathbb{P}(V)$ denotes the projective space of lines through the origin in V.

Except Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.7, we always assume the following throughout this section.

Notation-Assumptions 2.2. Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$, $\mathscr{K}$ a minimal rational component of X such that for general $x \in X$,

(i) $\mathscr{K}_x := \{[C] \in \mathscr{K} | x \in C\}$ is irreducible, and
(ii) $p := \dim \mathscr{K}_x > 0$.

Notice that p does not depend on the choice of a minimal rational component $\mathscr{K}$. It is a significant invariant of X.

Let $\pi : \mathscr{U} \to \mathscr{K}$ and $\iota : \mathscr{U} \to X$ be the associated universal morphisms. Remark that π is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-*bundle* in the sense of [20, II. Definition 2.5], that is, smooth, proper and for every $z \in \mathscr{K}$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is a rational curve ([20, II. Corollary 2.12]).

Theorem 2.3 ([17, Theorem 3.3])**.** *Let X be a normal projective variety and $\mathscr{K} \subset$ $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ a dominating family of rational curves of minimal degrees. Then, for a general point $x \in X$, there are only finitely many $\mathscr{K}_x$-curves which are singular at x.*

Remark 2.4. The original statement of Theorem 2.3 is proved under much weaker assumptions. For detail, see [17, Theorem 3.3].

Let X and $\mathscr{K}$ be as in the Notation-Assumptions 2.2. From Theorem 2.3 and a well-known argument similar to the one used in the proof of [20, II. Theorem 3.11], we know there exists a non-empty open subset $X^0 \subset X$ satisfying

(i) any $\mathscr{K}$-curve meeting X^0 is free, and
(ii) for any $x \in X^0$, there are only finitely many $\mathscr{K}_x$-curves which are singular at x.

Here $\mathscr{K}^0 := \pi(\iota^{-1}(X^0)) \subset \mathscr{K}$ and $\mathscr{U}^0 := \pi^{-1}(\mathscr{K}^0) \subset \mathscr{U}$ are open subsets. Then we have the universal family of $\mathscr{K}^0$, that is, $\pi_0 := \pi|_{\mathscr{U}^0} : \mathscr{U}^0 \to \mathscr{K}^0$ and $\iota_0 := \iota|_{\mathscr{U}^0} : \mathscr{U}^0 \to X$. Since any $\mathscr{K}^0$-curve is free, $\iota_0 : \mathscr{U}^0 \to X$ is smooth (see [20, II. Theorem 2.15, Corollary 3.5.3]).

Lemma 2.5. *For general $x \in X^0$, ${\iota_0}^{-1}(x)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. For a general point $x \in X^0$, we have a surjective morphism $\pi : {\iota_0}^{-1}(x) \to \mathscr{K}_x$. The smoothness of $\iota_0 : \mathscr{U}^0 \to X$ implies that ${\iota_0}^{-1}(x)$ is equidimensional. Since $\mathscr{K}_x$ is irreducible of positive dimension and there are only finitely many $\mathscr{K}_x$-curves which are singular at x, ${\iota_0}^{-1}(x)$ is irreducible. □

Replacing X^0 with a smaller open subset of X, we may assume

(iii) for any $x \in X^0, {\iota_0}^{-1}(x)$ is irreducible.

Definition 2.6. For general $x \in X^0$, define inductively

(i) $V_x^0 := \{x\}$, and
(ii) $V_x^{m+1} := \iota_0({\pi_0}^{-1}(\pi_0({\iota_0}^{-1}(V_x^m \cap X^0))))$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a flat morphism between varieties with irreducible fibers and W an irreducible constructible subset of Y. Then $f^{-1}(W)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. This is a well-known fact. For instance, see [5, Lemma 5.3]. □

Let consider $W_x^m := {\iota_0}^{-1}(V_x^m \cap X^0)$ and $\widetilde{W_x^m} := {\pi_0}^{-1}(\pi_0({\iota_0}^{-1}(V_x^m \cap X^0)))$.

Lemma 2.8. *For general $x \in X^0$, the following holds.*

(i) *V_x^m, W_x^m and $\widetilde{W_x^m}$ are irreducible constructible subsets.*

(ii) *If* $\dim V_x^m = \dim V_x^{m+1}$*, we have* $\dim V_x^m = n$.
(iii) *If* $\dim V_x^m < n$*, we have* $\dim W_x^m = \dim V_x^m + p$ *and* $\dim \widetilde{W_x^m} = \dim V_x^m + p + 1$.

Proof. (i) We prove by induction on m. When $m = 0$, $V_x^0 = \{x\}$ is irreducible. Assume that V_x^m is irreducible. Remark that $\iota_0 : \mathscr{U}^0 \to X$ and $\pi_0 : \mathscr{U}^0 \to \mathscr{K}^0$ are flat. Since ${\iota_0}^{-1}(x)$ is irreducible for any $x \in X^0$, we know W_x^m and $\widetilde{W_x^m}$ are irreducible from Lemma 2.7. Because $V_x^{m+1} = \iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m})$, V_x^{m+1} is also irreducible. Hence (i) holds.
(ii) This is in [21]. For the reader's convenience, we recall their proof. First assume that there exists a rational curve $[C] \in \mathscr{K}^0$ which is not contained in $\overline{V_x^m}$ satisfying $C \cap (V_x^m \cap X^0) \neq \emptyset$. Then V_x^m is a proper subset of V_x^{m+1}. This implies that $\dim V_x^m < \dim V_x^{m+1}$. Hence, if $\dim V_x^m = \dim V_x^{m+1}$, every $\mathscr{K}^0$-curve meeting $V_x^m \cap X^0$ is contained in $\overline{V_x^m}$. Assume that $\dim V_x^m = \dim V_x^{m+1}$ for general $x \in X$. Let q be the codimension of V_x^m in X and $T \subset X^0$ a sufficiently general $(q-1)$-dimensional subvariety. Denote $\bigcup_{x \in T}(V_x^m \cap X^0)$ by H^0 and its closure by H. Since the Picard number of X is 1, H is an ample divisor on X. A general member $[C] \in \mathscr{K}^0$ is not contained in H. So we have $C \cap H^0 = \emptyset$. On the other hand, we see that $C \cap (H \setminus H^0) = \emptyset$. This follows from [20, II. Proposition 3.7]. It concludes that $C \cap H$ is empty. However this contradicts the ampleness of H.
(iii) Since $\iota_0 : \mathscr{U}^0 \to X$ is flat, $W_x^m \to V_x^m \cap X^0$ is a flat morphism with irreducible fibers. This implies that $\dim W_x^m = \dim V_x^m + p$. Since $\pi_0 : \mathscr{U}^0 \to \mathscr{K}^0$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, $\dim \widetilde{W_x^m} = \dim W_x^m$ or $\dim W_x^m + 1$. If the former equality holds, we have $\overline{W_x^m} \cap \widetilde{W_x^m} = \widetilde{W_x^m}$ in $\mathscr{U}^0$. Here, for a subset $A \subset \mathscr{U}^0$, denote by $\overline{A}$ the closure of A in $\mathscr{U}^0$. Furthermore we see that

$$\iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m}) = \iota_0(\overline{W_x^m} \cap \widetilde{W_x^m}) \subset \overline{\iota_0(W_x^m)} \cap \iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m}) \subset \iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m}).$$

This yields that $\iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m}) = \overline{\iota_0(W_x^m)} \cap \iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m})$. Hence $\overline{\iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m})} = \overline{\iota_0(W_x^m)}$. This concludes that $\dim V_x^{m+1} = \dim \iota_0(\widetilde{W_x^m}) = \dim \iota_0(W_x^m) = \dim V_x^m$. This contradicts the assumption $\dim V_x^m < n$. Thus we have $\dim \widetilde{W_x^m} = \dim W_x^m + 1 = \dim V_x^m + p + 1$. ☐

Definition 2.9. For general $x \in X^0$, we denote the dimension of V_x^m by d_m. This definition does not depend on the choice of general $x \in X^0$.

Proposition 2.10 ([12, Proposition 2.4])**.** (i) $d_1 = p + 1$*, and*
(ii) $d_{m+1} \leq d_m + p + 1$.

Proof. The first part is derived from Mori's Bend-and-Break and the properness of $\mathscr{K}_x$. Hence the second is trivial. ☐

Definition 2.11 ([12, Subsection 2.2])**.** From Lemma 2.8 (ii), there exists an integer $m > 0$ satisfying $d_m = n$ and $d_{m-1} < n$. We denote such m by $l_{\mathscr{K}}$ and call *length* with respect to $\mathscr{K}$.

Remark 2.12. (i) From Lemma 2.8 (ii), we have $l_{\mathscr{K}} \leq n$.
(ii) When $p = 0$, we know $l_{\mathscr{K}} = n$ from the above (i) and Proposition 2.10. In this case, it is easy to see that this holds without the assumption of the irreducibility of $\mathscr{K}_x$.

3. Main Theorem

Continuously, we always work under the Assumptions 2.2 and use notation as in the previous section.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X and $\mathscr{K}$ be as in the Notation-Assumptions 2.2. If $d_{m+1} = d_m + 1$, we have $d_{m+1} = n$.*

Proof. We have $\widetilde{W_x^m} \cap \iota_0^{-1}(X^0) \subset W_x^{m+1} \subset \widetilde{W_x^{m+1}}$. Furthermore we know $\dim \widetilde{W_x^m} = d_m + p + 1$ and $\dim W_x^{m+1} = d_{m+1} + p = d_m + p + 1$ from Lemma 2.8 (iii) and our assumption. For a subset $A \subset \mathscr{U}^0$, denote by $\overline{A}$ the closure of A in $\mathscr{U}^0$. We see that $\overline{\widetilde{W_x^m} \cap \iota_0^{-1}(X^0)} \cap W_x^{m+1} = W_x^{m+1}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{W_x^{m+1}} &= \pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0(W_x^{m+1})) = \pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0((\overline{\widetilde{W_x^m} \cap \iota_0^{-1}(X^0))} \cap W_x^{m+1})) \\
&\subset \pi_0^{-1}(\overline{\pi_0(\widetilde{W_x^m} \cap \iota_0^{-1}(X^0))} \cap \pi_0(W_x^{m+1})) \\
&= \pi_0^{-1}(\overline{\pi_0(\widetilde{W_x^m} \cap \iota_0^{-1}(X^0))}) \cap \pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0(W_x^{m+1})) \\
&= \overline{\pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0(\widetilde{W_x^m} \cap \iota_0^{-1}(X^0)))} \cap \widetilde{W_x^{m+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality holds because π_0 is an open morphism. Moreover we see that $\pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0(\widetilde{W_x^m} \cap \iota_0^{-1}(X^0))) \subset \widetilde{W_x^m}$. Therefore we have $\widetilde{W_x^{m+1}} \subset \overline{\widetilde{W_x^m}}$. This implies that $\overline{\widetilde{W_x^m}} = \widetilde{W_x^{m+1}}$. Hence we obtain that $\dim \widetilde{W_x^m} = \dim \widetilde{W_x^{m+1}}$. Thus we see $d_{m+1} = d_{m+2}$. As a consequence, we have $d_{m+1} = n$ by Lemma 2.8 (ii). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Obviously, it follows from the definition that $d_{l_{\mathscr{K}}} = n$. Proposition 2.10 and 3.1 imply that

$$(p+1) + 2(m-1) \leq d_m \leq m(p+1) \text{ for } m < l_{\mathscr{K}}.$$

When $d_m = (p+1)+2(m-1)$ for any $m < l_{\mathscr{K}}$, we have $n = d_{l_{\mathscr{K}}} = (p+1)+2(l_{\mathscr{K}}-1)$ or $(p+1)+2(l_{\mathscr{K}}-2)+1$. In this case, $l_{\mathscr{K}} = \lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 1$. On the other hand, when $d_m = m(p+1)$ for any $m < l_{\mathscr{K}}$, we have $n = d_{l_{\mathscr{K}}} = (l_{\mathscr{K}}-1)(p+1)+k$ for $1 \leq k \leq p+1$. In this case, $l_{\mathscr{K}} = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{p+1} \rfloor + 1$. Hence our assertion holds. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let X and $\mathscr{K}$ be as in the Notation-Assumptions 2.2. $l_{\mathscr{K}}$ is equal to $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p+1} \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 1$ if and only if one of the following holds:*
(i) $n-3 \leq p \leq n-1$, *(ii)* $p = 1$, *or* (iii) $(n,p) = (7,2)$.

Proof. The "if" part is derived from Theorem 1.2. The "only if" part follows from a direct computation. □

4. Varieties of minimal rational tangents and their secant varieties

4.1. **Basic facts of varieties of minimal rational tangents.** Assume that X is a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$ (or more generally, a uniruled manifold) and $\mathscr{K}$ a minimal rational component of X such that $p = \dim \mathscr{K}_x$ for general $x \in X$. It is *not* necessary to suppose the Assumption 2.2. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}_x}$ the normalization of $\mathscr{K}_x$. Then it is known that $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}_x}$ is smooth for general $x \in X$ (see [10, Theorem 1.3]). For a general point $x \in X$, we define the tangent map $\tau_x : \widetilde{\mathscr{K}_x} \to \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ by assigning the tangent vector at x to each member of $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}_x}$ which is smooth at x. The regularity of τ_x follows from [17, Theorem 3.4]. We denote by $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ the image of τ_x, which is called the *variety of minimal rational tangents* at x. Let $\mathbb{P}(W_x)$ be the linear span of $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ and W the distribution defined by W_x for general $x \in X$ (see [10, Section 2]). Hwang's survey [10] is a standard reference on varieties of minimal rational tangents.

Theorem 4.1 ([15, Theorem 1],[17, Theorem 3.4]). *Let X be a Fano manifold (or more generally, a uniruled manifold). Then the tangent map $\tau_x : \widetilde{\mathscr{K}_x} \to \mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ is the normalization.*

Theorem 4.2 ([4, 16]). *Let X be a Fano n-fold (or more generally, a uniruled n-fold). If $p = n-1$, namely $\mathscr{C}_x = \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$, then X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Theorem 4.3 ([8]). *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$. Let $S = G/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety corresponding to a long simple root and $\mathscr{C}_o \subset \mathbb{P}(T_oS)$ the variety of minimal rational tangents at a reference point $o \in S$. Assume $\mathscr{C}_o \subset \mathbb{P}(T_oS)$ and $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ are isomorphic as projective subvarieties. Then X is isomorphic to S.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$. If $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ is projectively equivalent to the Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, X is the 6-dimensional Lagrangian Grassmann $LG(3,6)$ which parametrizes 3-dimensional isotropic subspaces of a symplectic vector space $\mathbb{C}^6$.*

Proof. $LG(3,6)$ is the rational homogeneous variety corresponding to the unique long simple root of the Dynkin diagram C_3. Furthermore the variety of minimal rational tangents of $LG(3,6)$ at a general point is projectively equivalent to $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (for example, see [14, Proposition 1]). Hence Theorem 4.3 implies that X is isomorphic to $LG(3,6)$.

□

Theorem 4.5 ([24]). *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$. If $n \geq 3$, the following are equivalent.*

(i) *X is isomorphic to a smooth quadric hypersurface Q^n.*
(ii) *The minimal value of the anticanonical degree of rational curves passing through a general point $x_0 \in X$ is equal to n.*

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$. If $p = n-2 \geq 1$, namely $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ is a hypersurface, X is isomorphic to Q^n.*

Proof. From our assumption $p = n-2$, X is covered by rational curves of anticanonical degree $\leq n$. Since finitely many families of rational curves of anticanonical degree $< n$ cannot be dominating under the assumption $p = n-2$. Therefore X is isomorphic to Q^n by Theorem 4.5. □

Proposition 4.7 ([11, Proposition 5]). *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$. Then $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ cannot be a linear subspace except $\mathscr{C}_x = \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$.*

Proposition 4.8 ([2, Proposition 16]). *Let X be a Fano manifold (or more generally, a uniruled manifold). If $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(W_x)$ is an irreducible hypersurface for general $x \in X$, $W \subset T_X$ is integrable.*

Proposition 4.9 ([9, Proposition 2]). *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$. W is integrable if and only if W_x coincides with T_xX for general $x \in X$.*

Proposition 4.10 (cf. [10, Proposition 2.4 and 2.6]). *Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$. Assume that $\mathscr{C}_x$ is smooth and irreducible. If $2(p+1) > \dim W_x$ holds, $W \subset T_X$ is integrable.*

4.2. **Secant variety.**

Definition 4.11. For varieties $Z_1, Z_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, we define the *join* $S(Z_1, Z_2) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ by the closure of the union of lines connecting two distinct points $x_1 \in Z_1$ and $x_2 \in Z_2$. In the special case that $Z = Z_1 = Z_2$, $SZ := S(Z, Z)$ is called the *secant variety* of Z.

Proposition 4.12 ([26, Corollary 2.3.7], [27]). *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible nondegenerate variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. Assume that $\dim SZ = n+2 < N$. Then Z is projectively equivalent to one of the following:*

(i) *$Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a cone over a curve, or*
(ii) *$Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+3}$ is a cone over the Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ (When $n = 2$, then $Z = v_2(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$).*

Lemma 4.13 ([1, Lemma 4.3]). *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible cone whose normalization is smooth. Then $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a linear space.*

4.3. **Varieties of minimal rational tangents admitting low dimensional secant varieties.**

Proposition 4.14. *Let X and $\mathscr{K}$ be as in the Notation-Assumptions 2.2. Denote by $\mathscr{C}_x$ the variety of minimal rational tangents at a general point $x \in X$.*

(i) *If $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x = p$ for general $x \in X$, then $X = \mathbb{P}^n$.*
(ii) *If $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x = p+1$ for general $x \in X$, then $X = Q^n$.*

Proof. (i) Assume $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x = p$ for general $x \in X$. Then $\mathscr{C}_x = S\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ is linear. Proposition 4.7 implies that $\mathscr{C}_x = \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$. Hence we have $p = n-1$. By Theorem 4.2, we see X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n$.
(ii) Assume $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x = p+1$ for general $x \in X$. Then, for $z \in S\mathscr{C}_x \setminus \mathscr{C}_x$, $S(z, \mathscr{C}_x)$ coincides with $S\mathscr{C}_x$. So we see $S(z, S\mathscr{C}_x) = S(z, S(z, \mathscr{C}_x)) = S(z, \mathscr{C}_x) = S\mathscr{C}_x$. This implies that $S\mathscr{C}_x$ is a $(p+1)$-dimensional linear subspace. Thus we see $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(W_x) = S\mathscr{C}_x$ is an irreducible hypersurface for general $x \in X$. From Proposition 4.8, $W \subset T_X$ is integrable. However Proposition 4.9 implies that W_x coincides with T_xX for general $x \in X$. Therefore we have $p = n-2$. It follows that X is isomorphic to Q^n from Corollary 4.6. □

Proposition 4.15. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 4.14, if $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x = p+2$ for general $x \in X$, then one of the following holds:*

(i) *$p = 1$,*
(ii) *$p = n-3$,*
(iii) *X is the Lagrangian Grassmann $LG(3,6)$,*
(iv) *$\mathscr{C}_x$ is the Veronese surface in its linear span $\mathbb{P}(W_x) = \mathbb{P}^5$ and $n > 6$, or*
(v) *$\mathscr{C}_x$ is a degenerate singular variety satisfying $S\mathscr{C}_x = \mathbb{P}^{p+2}$.*

Proof. Suppose that (i), (ii) and (iii) do not hold. Then it is enough to show that either (iv) or (v) hold.

First assume that $S\mathscr{C}_x$ does not coincide with $\mathbb{P}(W_x)$. From Proposition 4.12, Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.13, $\mathscr{C}_x$ is projectively equivalent to the Veronese surface $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2)$. If $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ is nondegenerate, X is isomorphic to the Lagrangian

Grassmann $LG(3,6)$ by Corollary 4.4. It contradicts our assumption. Hence $\mathscr{C}_x$ is degenerate.

Second assume that $S\mathscr{C}_x = \mathbb{P}(W_x)$. Note that W_x does not coincide with T_xX because p is not $n-3$ by our assumption. Hence $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ is degenerate. Here we have $2(p+1) > \dim W_x$. If $\mathscr{C}_x$ is smooth, Proposition 4.10 implies that the distribution $W \subset T_X$ is integrable. Furthermore we see $W_x = T_xX$ by Proposition 4.9. It is a contradiction. Thus $\mathscr{C}_x$ is singular.

□

5. Low dimensional case

Let X be a Fano n-fold of $\rho = 1$, $\mathscr{K}$ a minimal rational component of X satisfying the Notation-Assumptions 2.2. We use the notation introduced in Section 2.

Theorem 5.1 ([12, Theorem 3.12])**.** $d_2 \geq \dim S\mathscr{C}_x + 1$.

Lemma 5.2. *If* $l_{\mathscr{K}} = \lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 1$, *we have* $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x \leq p+3$. *Moreover if* $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x = p+3$, *then* n *and* $p+1$ *are congruent modulo* 2.

Proof. Suppose that $l_{\mathscr{K}} = \lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 1$ holds. According to Proposition 3.1, we know $d_2 + 2(l_{\mathscr{K}} - 3) + 1 \leq d_{l_{\mathscr{K}}} = n$. Hence we have $d_2 \leq n - 2l_{\mathscr{K}} + 5 = n - 2\lfloor \frac{n-p}{2} \rfloor + 3$. The right hand side is equal to $p+3$ or $p+4$. Furthermore if it is $p+4$, then n and $p+1$ are congruent modulo 2. Consequently, our assertion follows from Theorem 5.1. □

From Theorem 1.2 (cf. Corollary 3.2) and Remark 2.12 (ii), we can compute the length $l_{\mathscr{K}}$ in the case $n \leq 7$. In fact, we obtain the following table:

n	p	$l_{\mathscr{K}}$	n	p	$l_{\mathscr{K}}$	n	p	$l_{\mathscr{K}}$	n	p	$l_{\mathscr{K}}$	n	p	$l_{\mathscr{K}}$
3	2	1	4	3	1	5	4	1	6	5	1	7	6	1
3	1	2	4	2	2	5	3	2	6	4	2	7	5	2
3	0	3	4	1	2	5	2	2	6	3	2	7	4	2
			4	0	4	5	1	3	6	2	2 or 3	7	3	2 or 3
						5	0	5	6	1	3	7	2	3
									6	0	6	7	1	4
												7	0	7

Here we assume the irreducibility of $\mathscr{K}_x$ if $p \geq 1$. However $l_{\mathscr{K}} = n$ holds without the assumption of the irreducibility of $\mathscr{K}_x$ if $p = 0$. From this table, we see the length $l_{\mathscr{K}}$ depends only on the pair n and p in the case $n \leq 5$. However it does not hold when $n \geq 6$. In fact, we have the following examples.

Example 5.3.

(i) *A* 6*-dimensional smooth hypersurface of degree* 4 *satisfies* $(n, p, l_{\mathscr{K}}) = (6, 2, 2)$.

(ii) *The Lagrangian Grassmann* $LG(3,6)$ *satisfies* $(n, p, l_{\mathscr{K}}) = (6, 2, 3)$.

Proof. See [12, Proposition 6.2, Corollary 6.6]. □

Here we study the structure of X when $(n, p, l_{\mathscr{K}}) = (6, 2, 3)$ and $(7, 3, 3)$.

Proposition 5.4. *When* $(n, p, l_{\mathscr{K}}) = (6, 2, 3)$, *one of the following holds:*

(i) $X = LG(3,6)$, *or*

(ii) $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ *is a degenerate singular surface satisfying* $S\mathscr{C}_x = \mathbb{P}^4$.

Proof. From Lemma 5.2, we have $\dim S\mathscr{C}_x \leq 4$. Hence our assertion is derived from Proposition 4.14 and 4.15. □

The same argument implies the following:

Proposition 5.5. *When* $(n, p, l_{\mathscr{K}}) = (7, 3, 3)$*, then* $\mathscr{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}(T_xX)$ *is a degenerate singular* 3*-fold satisfying* $S\mathscr{C}_x = \mathbb{P}^5$*.*

Remark 5.6. In general, it is believed that any variety of minimal rational tangents $\mathscr{C}_x$ at a general point is smooth.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Professor Hajime Kaji for valuable seminars and encouragements. He is also grateful to referees, for their careful reading of the text and useful suggestions and comments. In particular, one of referees pointed out a gap of the proof of Lemma 2.8. The author is supported by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

References

[1] C. Araujo, *Rational curves of minimal degree and characterizations of projective spaces*, Math. Ann. 335 (2006), no. 4, 937-951.

[2] C. Araujo, *Identifying quadric bundle structures on complex projective varieties*, Geom. Dedicata 139 (2009), 289-297.

[3] N. Bourbaki: *Éléments de Mathématique, Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapters 4,5, and 6, (Hermann, Paris, 1968).

[4] K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds*, in *Higher dimensional birational geometry*, Kyoto, 1997, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 35, (Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2002), 1-88.

[5] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext, (Springer, New York, 2001).

[6] S. Elisabetta, *Lines in G/P*, Math. Z. 242 no. 2 (2002), 227-240.

[7] H. Flenner, L. O'Carroll and W. Vogel, *Joins and intersections*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, (Springer, Berlin, 1999).

[8] J. Hong and J. M. Hwang, *Characterization of the rational homogeneous space associated to a long simple root by its variety of minimal rational tangents*, Algebraic geometry in East Asia-Hanoi 2005, Advanced Studies of Pure Mathematics, 50, (Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2008), 217-236.

[9] J. M. Hwang, *Stability of tangent bundles of low-dimensional Fano manifolds with Picard number* 1, Math. Ann. 312 no. 4 (1998), 599-606.

[10] J. M. Hwang, *Geometry of minimal rational curves on Fano manifolds. In School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry*, Trieste, 2000, ICTP Lecture Notes, vol. 6 (Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., 2001), 335-393. Available on the ICTP web site at http : //www.ictp.trieste.it/ pub_off/services.

[11] J. M. Hwang, *On the degrees of Fano four-folds of Picard number 1*, J. reine angew. Math. 556 (2003), 225-235.

[12] J-M. Hwang and S. Kebekus, *Geometry of chains of minimal rational curves*, J. reine angew. Math. 584 (2005), 173-194.

[13] J. M. Hwang and N. Mok, *Rigidity of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of the compact type under Kähler deformation*, Invent. Math. 131 no. 2 (1998), 393-418.

[14] J. M. Hwang and N. Mok, *Deformation rigidity of the rational homogeneous space associated to a long simple root*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. 35 (2002), 173-184.

[15] J. M. Hwang and N. Mok, *Birationality of the tangent map for minimal rational curves*, Asian J. Math. 8 no. 1 (2004), 51-63.

[16] S. Kebekus, *Characterizing the projective space after Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), (Springer, Berlin, 2002), 147-155.

[17] S. Kebekus, *Families of singular rational curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. 11 (2002), 245-256.

[18] S. Kebekus and S. J. Kovács, *Are rational curves determined by tangent vectors?*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54 no. 1 (2004), 53-79.

[19] S. Kebekus and L. Solá Conde, *Existence of rational curves on algebraic varieties, minimal rational tangents, and applications*, Global aspects of complex geometry, (Springer, Berlin, 2006), 359-416.

[20] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 32 (Springer, Berlin, 1996).

[21] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, *Rational curves on Fano varieties*, Proc. Alg. Geom. Conf. Trento, Springer Lecture Notes 1515 (1992) 100-105.

[22] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, *Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 36 no. 3 (1992), 765-779.

[23] J. M. Landsberg and L. Manivel, *On the projective geometry of rational homogeneous varieties*, Comment. Math. Helv. 78 no. 1 (2003), 65-100.

[24] Y. Miyaoka, *Numerical characterisations of hyperquadrics*, Complex analysis in several variables-Memorial Conference of Kiyoshi Oka's Centennial Birthday, Advanced Studies of Pure Mathematics, vol. 42, (Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004), 209-235.

[25] A. M. Nadel, *The boundedness of degree of Fano varieties with Picard number one*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 no. 4 (1991), 681-692.

[26] F. Russo, Notes for the Buenos Aires School *Geometry of Special Varieties*, http://mate.dm.uba.ar/ fcukier/dagfo/pdfs/Russo.pdf

[27] F. Severi, *Intorno ai punti doppi impropri diuna superfcie generale dello spazio a quattro dimensioni, e a suoi puntitripli apparenti*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. (2) 15 (1901), 33-51.

[28] K. Watanabe, *Lengths of chains of minimal rational curves on Fano manifolds*, J. Algebra, vol. 325, no. 1 (2011), 163-176.

[29] F. L. Zak, *Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 127, (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING WASEDA UNIVERSITY, 4-1 OHKUBO 3-CHOME SHINJUKU-KU TOKYO 169-8555 JAPAN

CURRENT ADDRESS: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA MEGURO-KU TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN.
E-mail address: `watanabe@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`